Comparing Coverage

Andreas Zeller

Tracing Infections

- For every infection, we must find the earlier infection that causes it.
- Which origin should we focus upon?



Tracing Infections



Focusing on Anomalies

Examine origins and locations where something abnormal happens



What's normal?

- General idea: Use induction reasoning from the particular to the general
- Start with a *multitude* of runs
- Determine properties that are common across all runs

What's abnormal?

- Suppose we determine common properties of all passing runs.
- Now we examine a run which fails the test.
- Any difference in properties correlates with failure – and is likely to hint at failure causes

Detecting Anomalies





Properties



Differences correlate with failure

Properties

Data properties that hold in all runs: • "At f(), x is odd" • " $0 \le x \le 10$ during the run" Code properties that hold in all runs: • "f() is always executed" • "After open(), we eventually have close()"

Comparing Coverage

- Every failure is caused by an infection, which in turn is caused by a defect
- 2. The defect must be executed to start the infection
- 3. Code that is executed in failing runs only is thus likely to cause the defect

The middle program

\$ middle 3 3 5
middle: 3
\$ middle 2 1 3
middle: 1

int main(int arc, char *argv[]) {

int x = atoi(argv[1]); int y = atoi(argv[2]); int z = atoi(argv[3]); int m = middle(x, y, z);

printf("middle: %d\n", m);

н

return 0;

int middle(int x, int y, int z) { int m = z;if (y < z) { if (x < y)m = y;else if (x < z)m = y;} else { if (x > y)m = y;else if (x > z)m = x;return m;

Obtaining Coverage for C programs

Obtaining Coverage for Python programs

if __name__ == "__main__":
 sys.settrace(tracer)
 x = sys.argv[1]
 y = sys.argv[2]
 z = sys.argv[3]
 m = middle(x, y, z)

print "middle:", m

Obtaining Coverage for Python programs

def tracer(frame, event, arg): code = frame.f_code function = code.co_name filename = code.co_filename line = frame.f_lineno print filename + ":" + `line` + \ ":" + function + "():", \setminus event, arg return tracer

Obtaining Coverage for Python programs

\$./middle.py 3 3 5 ./middle.py:13:middle(): call None ./middle.py:14:middle() line None ./middle.py:15:middle() line None ./middle.py:16:middle() line None ./middle.py:18:middle(): line None ./middle.py:19:middle(): line None ./middle.py:26:middle(): line None ./middle.py:26:middle(): return 3 middle: 3

X	3		3	5	5	2
У	3	2	2	5	3	
Z	5	3		5	4	3
<pre>int middle(int x, int y, int z) {</pre>	•	•	•	•	•	•
int m = z;	•	•			•	•
if (y < z) {	•	•	•	·	·	
if $(x < y)$	t de	\bullet		MARCE		
m = y;		•				
else if $(x < z)$	•				•	•
m = y;	•					
<pre>} else {</pre>	•		•	\bullet		
if $(x > y)$	in the second		•			
m = y;			•			
else if $(x > z)$						
m = x;			Televisionis			
}						
return m;	•	•	•	•	•	•
	V	V	V	V	V	X

Discrete Coloring



executed only in failing runs highly suspect

executed in passing and failing runs ambiguous

executed only in passing runs likely correct

X	3		3	5	5	2
У	3	2	2	5	3	
Z	5	3		5	4	3
<pre>int middle(int x, int y, int z) {</pre>	•	•	•	•	•	•
int m = z;	•	•			•	•
if (y < z) {	•	•	•	·	·	
if $(x < y)$	r er	\bullet		MARCE		
m = y;		•				
else if $(x < z)$	•				•	•
m = y;	•					
<pre>} else {</pre>	•		•	\bullet		
if $(x > y)$	Care a		•			
m = y;			•			
else if $(x > z)$						
m = x;			Testine			
}						
return m;	•	•	•	•	•	•
	V	V	V	V	V	X

X	3		3	5	5	2
У	3	2	2	5	3	
Z	5	3		5	4	3
<pre>int middle(int x, int y, int z) {</pre>	•	·	•	·	•	•
int m = z;	•	•	•			•
if (y < z) {	•	·	•	•	•	•
if (x < y)		•				
m = y;		•				
else if (x < z)	•				•	•
m = y;	•					•
<pre>} else {</pre>	•		•	\bullet		
if (x > y)	distant.		•			
m = y;						
else if $(x > z)$						
m = x;						Giza
return m;	•	•	•	•	•	•
}	V	V	V	v	V	X

Continuous Coloring

executed only in failing runs

passing and failing runs

executed only in passing runs

Hue

$hue(s) = red hue + \frac{\% passed(s)}{\% passed(s) + \% failed(s)} \times hue range$

0% passed

100% passed

Brightness

frequently executed

bright(s) = max(%passed(s),%failed(s))

rarely executed

X	3		3	5	5	2
У	3	2	2	5	3	
Ζ	5	3		5	4	3
<pre>int middle(int x, int y, int z) {</pre>	•	•	·	•	·	•
int m = z;	•	•	•			•
if (y < z) {	•	•	•	·	•	•
if (x < y)	a ne	•		ALC: N		
m = y;		•				
else if (x < z)	•				•	•
m = y;	•					•
<pre>} else {</pre>	•		•	\bullet		
if $(x > y)$			•			
m = y;			•			
else if $(x > z)$						
m = x;						
return m;	•	•	•	•	•	•
}	1	/	v	V	V	X

02			Tarantula CodeViev	ver			€×D
File							
O <u>D</u> efault	O <u>S</u> ummary	🔿 <u>P</u> asses 🔿 <u>F</u> ails 🔿 M	lixed 💿 <mark>Shaded Su</mark>	mmary			— Line: 3754
Test:							
4 3333333333333							
(*grampexc,	_ptr)->PQEXP_	PTR = NULL; ■ -Line 3 Execut Pas: ■ Fail	8754 tions: 34 / 300 sed: 5 / 267 ed: 29 / 33		-Color Leg	jend	

Source: Jones et al., ICSE 2002

Evaluation

How well does comparing coverage detect anomalies?

- How green are the defects? (false negatives)
- How red are non-defects? (false positives)

Space

- 8000 lines of executable code
- 1000 test suites with 156–4700 test cases
- 20 defective versions with one defect each (corrected in subsequent version)

Faulty Statements



Non-faulty Statements



Source: Jones et al., ICSE 2002

Siemens Suite

- 7 C programs, 170–560 lines
- I32 variations with one defect each
- 108 all yellow (i.e., useless)
- I with one red statement (at the defect)

Nearest Neighbor





Nearest Neighbor





Compare with the single run that has the most similar coverage

Locating Defects Nearest Neighbor Intersection \bigcirc Renieris+Reiss (ASE 2003) Jones et al. (ICSE 2002) % of failing tests 22 20 00 9 25 0 <20 <30 <40 <50 <60 <70 <80 <90 <100 0 <|0 % of executed source code to examine

Sequences

Sequences of locations can correlate with failures:



... but all locations are executed in both runs!

The AspectJ Compiler

\$ ajc Test3.aj

\$ java test.Test3

test.Test3@b8df17.x Unexpected Signal : 11
occurred at PC=0xFA415A00
Function name=(N/A) Library=(N/A) ...
Please report this error at http://java.sun.com/

Coverage Differences

• Compare the failing run with passing runs

- BcelShadow.getThisJoinPointVar() is invoked in the failing run only
- Unfortunately, this method is correct

Sequence Differences

This sequence occurs only in the failing run:

ThisJoinPointVisitor.isRef(),
ThisJoinPointVisitor.canTreatAsStatic(),
MethodDeclaration.traverse(),
ThisJoinPointVisitor.isRef(),
ThisJoinPointVisitor.isRef()

Defect location

Collecting Sequences



Ingoing vs. Outgoing



Anomalies



NanoXML

Simple XML parser written in Java
5 revisions, each with 16–23 classes
33 errors discovered or seeded

Locating Defects

• AMPLE/window size 8 Dallmeier et al. (ECOOP 2005)



$\Theta \Theta \Theta$		Java – ThisJoinPointVisitor.java – Eclipse Platform					
] 📬 • 🔚 🗁] 🏇 • 💽 • 🏊 •] 😂 📽 G	ه 🔁 [۲	?] 🌛 📄] 🖞 + 🎸 + 🗇 + 🗢 + 👘 🔁 🕹 CVS Repos 🖒 Resource					
Package Explorer 🔂 JUnit 🕱	- 8	🚺 ThisJoinPointVisitor.java 🕱					
Finished after 5.129 seconds	-		6				
	-	public boolean visit(MessageSend call, BlockScope scope) {					
<u>♥ 𝒴 ♥ ֎!</u>		if (isRef(receiver, this]oinPointDec)) {					
Runs: 2/2 Errors: 1 Eailure	es: 0	if (canTreatAsStatic(new String(call.selector))) {					
		<pre>if (replaceEffectivelyStaticRefs) {</pre>					
		replaceEffectivelyStaticRef(call);					
Epilures		//System.err.println("has static reg");					
	_	<pre>hasEffectivelyStaticRef = true;</pre>					
BytecodeOptimizeTest		<pre>if (call.arguments != null) { int arguments length = call arguments length;</pre>					
testjoinPointOptimizePass		<pre>for (int i = 0; i < argumentsLength; i++)</pre>					
testjoinPointOptimizeFall		call.arguments[i].traverse(this, scope);					
		}					
		}					
= Failure Trace	→⊑ ≓⊟	}	•				
	_→₹ 🗄	}					
Java.lang.incompatibleClassChangeEr	ror	}					
at BytecodeOptimizeTest.testjoinPoin	ntOptim						
at sun reflect NativeMethodAccessor	Impl.inv	private MethodBinding getEquivalentStaticBinding(MethodBinding template) {					
= at sun reflect DelegatingMethodAccessor	ssorlmr	return b.getExactMethod(template.selector, template.parameters);					
	5501111j.	}					
) + +	minute unid collige Effectively Static Pef (Massace Send coll) [*				
Deviating Classes X		private vota replacettectivelystaticket(Messagesena call) {	Y				
Gass Anon MethodNameAndTypeCache 0.81	nalles	Console Problems 📄 CVS Resource History 🕱 💦 🕹 🖈 💌					
BreiVar 0.56	57	ThisJoinPointVisitor.java					
G LocalVariableInstruction 0.50	00	Revision Tags Date Author Comment					
G LocalVariableTag 0.48	34	1.5 3/28/03 1:58 AM jhugunin Major changes in order to move to Eclipse-JDT 2.1 as a	bas				
G LocalVariableGen 0.40	00	1.4 v1_1 2/26/03 11:57 AM acolyer Ran "Organize imports" to remove redundant imports etc	c – [.				
G BcelShadow 0.39	92	 2/13/03 11:00 PM jhugunin fixed Bug 30168: bad optimization of thisJoinPoint to this 	sJoir				
Ge Range 0.31	18	1.2 1/14/03 6:24 PM jhugunin fixed initial implementor for code written in 2002 to be j	just 🚺				
G Shadow 0.26	55	1.1 V_1_ 12/16/02 7:02 PM wisberg initial version	v				
G Compiler 0.26	50						
G ThisJoinPointVisitor 0.23	32	fixed Bug 30168: bad optimization of thisJoinPoint to thisJoinPointStaticPart					
Ge Method Declaration 0.21	17 🔻						

l

Concepts

* Comparing coverage (or other features) shows anomalies correlated with failure

 Nearest neighbor or sequences locate errors more precisely than just coverage

★ Low overhead + simple to realize

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License. To view a copy of this license, visit

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/1.0

or send a letter to Creative Commons, 559 Abbott Way, Stanford, California 94305, USA.