

CONTINUOUS TESTING

DAVID SAFF, MICHAEL D. ERNST

MIT CSAIL

<saff, mernst>@mit.edu

PREPARED BY	DAVID SAFF
DATE	2004/6/7

* IDEA:

- MODERN IDE'S GIVE RAPID FEEDBACK ABOUT COMPILE ERRORS
- WHAT IF YOUR IDE GAVE RAPID FEEDBACK ABOUT SEMANTIC ERRORS?
- LET'S USE SPARE COMPUTING POWER
TO CONTINUOUSLY RUN REGRESSION TESTS IN THE BACKGROUND

* FINDINGS:

- THE FASTER A DEVELOPER LEARNS OF AN ERROR,
THE FASTER IT IS FIXED
- WHEN CONTINUOUS TESTING IS COMBINED WITH EFFICIENT PRIORITIZATION,
WE ESTIMATED 8-15% FASTER DEVELOPMENT IN TWO PROJECTS

STUDENTS USING:	% COMPLETED A WEEK-LONG ASSIGNMENT
NO ADDITIONAL TOOL:	~25%
CONTINUOUS COMPILATION:	~50%
CONTINUOUS TESTING:	~75%

- WE BUILT IT (IN ECLIPSE), AND THEY CAME (THE USERS)
- WHAT IF INDIVIDUAL TESTS ARE EXPENSIVE? (SEE TOMORROW'S TALK!)